01		
02		
03		
04		
05		
06	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
07		
08	RUSSELL L. O'BRIEN,) CASE NO. C13-2059-TSZ-MAT
09	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S SECOND MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
10	v.	
11	COMMANDER G. KARLSSON, et al.,	
12	Defendants.)
13		,
14	This matter comes before the Court on plaintiff's second motion for appointment of	
15	counsel. The Court, having reviewed plaintiff's motion, and the balance of the record, hereby	
16	finds and ORDERS as follows:	
17	(1) Plaintiff's second motion for appointment of counsel (Dkt. 20) is DENIED. As	
18	plaintiff was previously advised, there is no right to have counsel appointed in cases brought	
19	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Although the Court, under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1), can request	
20	counsel to represent a party proceeding in forma pauperis, the Court may do so only in	
21	exceptional circumstances. Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986);	
22	Franklin v. Murphy, 745 F.2d 1221, 1236 (9th Cir. 1984); Aldabe v. Aldabe, 616 F.2d 1089 (9th	
	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S SECOND MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL PAGE -1	

01 Cir. 1980). 02 Plaintiff has not, at this juncture, demonstrated a likeliehood of success on the merits nor shown that, in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved, he is unable to articulate 03 his claims pro se. Thus, plaintiff has not demonstrated that this case involves exceptional 04 circumstances which warrant appointment of counsel at the present time. 05 06 (2) The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to plaintiff, to counsel for defendants, and to the Honorable Thomas S. Zilly. DATED this 6th day of June, 2014. 08 09 10 Chief United States Magistrate Judge 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S SECOND MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

PAGE -2